Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitations				
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	Instantaneous Minimum	Instantaneous Maximum
Chlorodibromomethane	μg/L	5.0	·	16		
Total Coliform Organisms	MPN/100ml					240
Cyanide, Total Recoverable	µg/L	4.1	<u></u>	9.0		
Dichlorobromomethane	ν μg/L	6.8		20		
Manganese, Total Recoverable	μg/L			286	<u>-</u>	
Molybdenum, Total Recoverable	μg/L			13	-	, interest
Nitrate plus Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	40		. <u></u>	****	
рH	s.u.				6.5	8.5
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	10	15	20	. 	
	lbs/day ¹	4,590	6,885	9,180		
5-Day CBOD @ 20 °C	mg/L	10	15	20	· 	
	lbs/day ¹	4,590	6,885	9,180	·	

Mass-based effluent limitations are based on a design flow of 55 mgd.

- b. **Percent Removal:** The average monthly percent removal of CBOD 5-day 20°C and total suspended solids shall not be less than 85 percent.
- c. **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Survival of aquatic organisms in 96-hour bioassays of undiluted waste shall be no less than:
 - i. 70%, minimum for any one bioassay; and
 - ii. 90%, median for any three consecutive bioassays.
- d. **Temperature.** The maximum temperature of the discharge shall not exceed the natural receiving water temperature by more than 20°F.
- e. Total Residual Chlorine. Effluent total residual chlorine shall not exceed:
 - i. 0.01 mg/L, as a 4-day average; and
 - ii. 0.02 mg/L, as a 1-hour average.
- f. Total Coliform Organisms. Effluent total coliform organisms shall not exceed:
 - i. 2.2 most probable number (MPN) per 100 mL, as a 7-day median; and
 - ii. 23 MPN/100 mL, more than once in any 30-day period.
- g. **Average Dry Weather Flow.** The Average Dry Weather Flow shall not exceed 55 mgd.

- h. **Dissolved Oxygen.** The daily average effluent dissolved oxygen concentration shall not be less than 6.0 mg/L from 1 September through 30 November and 5.0 mg/L throughout the remainder of the year.
- i. **Aluminum.** The discharge of total recoverable aluminum shall not exceed a concentration of 200 μ g/L as an annual average.

j. Electrical Conductivity.

- i. The electrical conductivity in the discharge shall not exceed an annual average of 1,300 μmhos/cm;
- ii. If the Discharger fails to comply with the requirements in 1) or 2), below, the electrical conductivity in the discharge shall not exceed a monthly average of 700 μmhos/cm (1 April to 31 August), and 1000 μmhos/cm (1 September to 31 March):
 - 1) The Discharger shall develop and submit a Salinity Plan as specified in Provision VI.C.3.c; and
 - 2) The Discharger shall timely implement the Salinity Plan upon the Regional Water Board's approval. The proposed Salinity Plan will be circulated for no less than 30 days of public comment prior to the Regional Water Board's consideration of the Salinity Plan. The Regional Water Board may revise the Salinity Plan prior to final approval.

Upon determination by the Regional Water Board that the Discharger has materially failed to comply with the approved Salinity Plan due to circumstances within its control, the monthly average effluent limitations for electrical conductivity specified in j.ii., above, shall become effective immediately.

k. **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity.** There shall be no chronic toxicity in the effluent discharge.

2. Interim Effluent Limitations

a. **Mercury.** The total annual mass discharge of total mercury shall not exceed 0.92 pounds. This interim performance-based limitation shall be in effect until the Regional Water Board establishes final effluent limitations after adoption of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Methylmercury TMDL.

B. Land Discharge Specifications

[Not Applicable]

C. Reclamation Specifications

- 1. Offsite use of reclaimed water covered by this Order shall be limited to dust control and compaction by building contractors, and street sweeping. Additional offsite specific reclamation uses may be approved by the Executive Officer with the submission of a written report demonstrating, to the satisfaction of the Executive Officer, that the uses will be in compliance with the terms of the Order.
- 2. Reclaimed water shall be chlorinated secondary treated effluent. For disinfection, the median number of total coliform organisms in the water shall not exceed 23 MPN/100 ml, as determined from the bacteriological results of the last seven days for which analyses have been completed, and the number of coliform organisms shall not exceed 240 MPN/100 ml in any two consecutive samples.
- 3. Reclaimed water shall meet the criteria contained in Title 22, Division 4, CCR (section 60301, et seq.).
- 4. Public contact with wastewater shall be precluded through such means as fences, signs, and other acceptable alternatives.
- 5. Controls on use for construction shall follow Guideline for Use of Reclaimed Water For Construction Purposes, as follows:
 - a. Truck drivers should be instructed as to the reclamation specifications and potential health hazards involved with reuse of wastewater.
 - b. Tank trucks and other equipment, which come into contact with reclaimed water, should be clearly identified with warning signs/placards.
 - c. Tank trucks used for reclaimed water should be thoroughly cleaned of septage or other contaminants prior to reuse.
 - d. Use of reclaimed water should not create any odor or nuisance.
 - e. Ponding or runoff of reclaimed water should not occur.
 - f. Aerosol formation during uses involving spraying should be minimized.
 - g. Reclaimed water should be applied so as to prevent public contact with water.
 - n. Reclaimed water must not be introduced into any permanent piping system and no connection shall be made between the tank truck and any part of a domestic water system.
 - i. Tank trucks should be cleaned and disinfected after the project is completed.
 - Tank trucks used to transport reclaimed water shall not be used to carry domestic water.
- 6. Treated wastewater discharged for reclamation for purposes not specified in this section must be regulated under separate waste discharge requirements and must meet the requirements of California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 22.

V. RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS

A. Surface Water Limitations

Receiving water limitations are based on water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan and are a required part of this Order. The discharge shall not cause the following in the San Joaquin River:

- Bacteria. The fecal coliform concentration, based on a minimum of not less than
 five samples for any 30-day period, to exceed a geometric mean of 200 MPN/100
 mL, nor more than ten percent of the total number of fecal coliform samples taken
 during any 30-day period to exceed 400 MPN/100 mL
- 2. **Biostimulatory Substances**. Water to contain biostimulatory substances which promote aquatic growths in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 3. **Chemical Constituents**. Chemical constituents to be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 4. Color. Discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

5. Dissolved Oxygen:

- a. The dissolved oxygen concentration to be reduced below 6.0 mg/L any time from 1 September through 30 November.
- b. The dissolved oxygen concentration to be reduced below 5.0 mg/L at any time from 1 December through 31 August.
- 6. **Floating Material**. Floating material to be present in amounts that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 7. **Oil and Grease**. Oils, greases, waxes, or other materials to be present in concentrations that cause nuisance, result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 8. **pH**. The pH to be depressed below 6.5, raised above 8.5, nor changed by more than 0.5 units. A 1-month averaging period may be applied when calculating the pH change of 0.5.

9. Pesticides:

- a. Pesticides to be present, individually or in combination, in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses:
- b. Pesticides to be present in bottom sediments or aquatic life in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses;

- c. Total identifiable persistent chlorinated hydrocarbon pesticides to be present in the water column at concentrations detectable within the accuracy of analytical methods approved by USEPA or the Executive Officer;
- d. Pesticide concentrations to exceed those allowable by applicable antidegradation policies (see State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 and 40 CFR §131.12.).
- e. Pesticide concentrations to exceed the lowest levels technically and economically achievable;
- f. Pesticides to be present in concentration in excess of the maximum contaminant levels set forth in CCR, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15; and
- g. Thiobencarb to be present in excess of 1.0 µg/L.

10. Radioactivity:

- a. Radionuclides to be present in concentrations that are harmful to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life nor that result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent that presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- Radionuclides to be present in excess of the maximum contaminant levels specified in Table 64443 (MCL Radioactivity) of Section 64443 of Title 22 of the CCR.
- 11. **Suspended Sediments**. The suspended sediment load and suspended sediment discharge rate of surface waters to be altered in such a manner as to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 12. **Settleable Substances**. Substances to be present in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.
- 13. **Suspended Material**. Suspended material to be present in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 14. **Taste and Odors**. Taste- or odor-producing substances to be present in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 15. **Temperature**. The Thermal Plan is applicable to this discharge. The Thermal Plan requires that the discharge shall not cause the following in the San Joaquin River:
 - a. The creation of a zone, defined by water temperatures of more than 1°F above natural receiving water temperature, which exceeds 25 percent of the cross-sectional area of the river channel at any point; and
 - b. A surface water temperature rise greater than 4°F above the natural temperature of the receiving water at any time or place;

- 16. **Toxicity**. Toxic substances to be present, individually or in combination, in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- 17. Turbidity. The turbidity to increase as follows:
 - a. More than 1 Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU) where natural turbidity is between 0 and 5 NTUs.
 - b. More than 20 percent where natural turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs.
 - c. More than 10 NTU where natural turbidity is between 50 and 100 NTUs.
 - d. More than 10 percent where natural turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs.

When wastewater is treated to a tertiary level (including coagulation) or equivalent, a 1-month averaging period may be used when determining compliance with this Receiving Surface Water Limitation for turbidity.

B. Groundwater Limitations

- Release of waste constituents from any storage, treatment, or disposal component associated with the Facility shall not cause or contribute to, in combination with other sources of the waste constituents, groundwater within influence of the Facility to contain:
 - Taste or odor-producing constituents, toxic substances, or any other constituents, in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses;
 - b. Waste constituent concentrations in excess of water quality objectives or background water quality, whichever is greater; and
 - c. Waste constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or background water quality, whichever is greater:
 - Fecal coliform organisms median of 2.2 MPN/100 mL over any seven-day period; and
 - ii. Nitrate plus Nitrite as nitrogen of 10 mg/L.
- 2. Groundwater Limitations B.1.b and c become effective upon completion of the requirements specified in Provision VI.C.2.c of this Order.

VI. PROVISIONS

A. Standard Provisions

- 1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions included in Attachment D of this Order.
- 2. The Discharger shall comply with the following provisions:
 - a. If the Discharger's wastewater treatment plant is publicly owned or subject to regulation by California Public Utilities Commission, it shall be supervised and operated by persons possessing certificates of appropriate grade according to Title 23, CCR, Division 3, Chapter 26.
 - b. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for cause, including, but not limited to:
 - i. violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;
 - ii. obtaining this Order by misrepresentation or by failing to disclose fully all relevant facts;
 - iii. a change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; and
 - iv. a material change in the character, location, or volume of discharge.

The causes for modification include:

- New regulations. New regulations have been promulgated under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act, or the standards or regulations on which the permit was based have been changed by promulgation of amended standards or regulations or by judicial decision after the permit was issued.
- Land application plans. When required by a permit condition to incorporate a land application plan for beneficial reuse of sewage sludge, to revise an existing land application plan, or to add a land application plan.
- Change in sludge use or disposal practice. Under 40 CFR 122.62(a)(1), a
 change in the Discharger's sludge use or disposal practice is a cause for
 modification of the permit. It is cause for revocation and reissuance if the
 Discharger requests or agrees.

The Regional Water Board may review and revise this Order at any time upon application of any affected person or the Regional Water Board's own motion.

c. If a toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any scheduled compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is established under Section 307(a) of the CWA, or amendments thereto, for a toxic pollutant that is present in

the discharge authorized herein, and such standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation upon such pollutant in this Order, the Regional Water Board will revise or modify this Order in accordance with such toxic effluent standard or prohibition.

The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards and prohibitions within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified.

- d. This Order shall be modified, or alternately revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the CWA, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - i. contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the Order; or
 - ii. controls any pollutant limited in the Order.

The Order, as modified or reissued under this paragraph, shall also contain any other requirements of the CWA then applicable.

- e. The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is found invalid, the remainder of this Order shall not be affected.
- f. The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize any adverse effects to waters of the State or users of those waters resulting from any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order. Reasonable steps shall include such accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of the non-complying discharge or sludge use or disposal, and adequate public notification to downstream water agencies or others who might contact the non-complying discharge.
- g. The Discharger shall ensure compliance with any existing or future pretreatment standard promulgated by USEPA under Section 307 of the CWA, or amendment thereto, for any discharge to the municipal system.
- h. The discharge of any radiological, chemical or biological warfare agent or high-level, radiological waste is prohibited.
- i. A copy of this Order shall be maintained at the discharge facility and be available at all times to operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its content.
- j. Safeguard to electric power failure:
 - i. The Discharger shall provide safeguards to assure that, should there be reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the discharge shall comply with the terms and conditions of this Order.

- ii. Upon written request by the Regional Water Board the Discharger shall submit a written description of safeguards. Such safeguards may include alternate power sources, standby generators, retention capacity, operating procedures, or other means. A description of the safeguards provided shall include an analysis of the frequency, duration, and impact of power failures experienced over the past 5 years on effluent quality and on the capability of the Discharger to comply with the terms and conditions of the Order. The adequacy of the safeguards is subject to the approval of the Regional Water Board.
- iii. Should the treatment works not include safeguards against reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, or should the Regional Water Board not approve the existing safeguards, the Discharger shall, within 90 days of having been advised in writing by the Regional Water Board that the existing safeguards are inadequate, provide to the Regional Water Board and USEPA a schedule of compliance for providing safeguards such that in the event of reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the Discharger shall comply with the terms and conditions of this Order. The schedule of compliance shall, upon approval of the Regional Water Board, become a condition of this Order.
- k. The Discharger, upon written request of the Regional Water Board, shall file with the Board a technical report on its preventive (failsafe) and contingency (cleanup) plans for controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events. This report may be combined with that required under Regional Water Board Standard Provision VI.A.2.m.

The technical report shall:

- Identify the possible sources of spills, leaks, untreated waste by-pass, and contaminated drainage. Loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit outage, and failure of process equipment, tanks and pipes should be considered.
- ii. Evaluate the effectiveness of present facilities and procedures and state when they became operational.
- iii. Predict the effectiveness of the proposed facilities and procedures and provide an implementation schedule containing interim and final dates when they will be constructed, implemented, or operational.

The Regional Water Board, after review of the technical report, may establish conditions which it deems necessary to control accidental discharges and to minimize the effects of such events. Such conditions shall be incorporated as part of this Order, upon notice to the Discharger.

I. A publicly owned treatment works (POTW) whose waste flow has been increasing, or is projected to increase, shall estimate when flows will reach hydraulic and treatment capacities of its treatment and disposal facilities. The projections shall be made in January, based on the last 3 years' average dry

weather flows, peak wet weather flows and total annual flows, as appropriate. When any projection shows that capacity of any part of the facilities may be exceeded in 4 years, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by 31 January. A copy of the notification shall be sent to appropriate local elected officials, local permitting agencies and the press. Within 120 days of the notification, the Discharger shall submit a technical report showing how it will prevent flow volumes from exceeding capacity or how it will increase capacity to handle the larger flows. The Regional Water Board may extend the time for submitting the report.

- m. The Discharger shall submit technical reports as directed by the Executive Officer. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code, sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with Title 16, CCR, sections 415 and 3065, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.
- n. Laboratories that perform sample analyses must be identified in all monitoring reports submitted to the Regional Water Board and USEPA.
- o. The Discharger shall conduct analysis on any sample provided by USEPA as part of the Discharge Monitoring Quality Assurance (DMQA) program. The results of any such analysis shall be submitted to USEPA's DMQA manager.
- p. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of the last addition of wastes to the treatment or discharge works where a representative sample may be obtained prior to mixing with the receiving waters. Samples shall be collected at such a point and in such a manner to ensure a representative sample of the discharge.
- q. All monitoring and analysis instruments and devices used by the Discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary, at least yearly, to ensure their continued accuracy.
- r. The Discharger shall file with the Regional Water Board technical reports on self-monitoring performed according to the detailed specifications contained in the Monitoring and Reporting Program attached to this Order.
- s. The results of all monitoring required by this Order shall be reported to the Regional Water Board, and shall be submitted in such a format as to allow direct comparison with the limitations and requirements of this Order. Unless otherwise specified, discharge flows shall be reported in terms of the monthly average and the daily maximum discharge flows.

- t. The Regional Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the CWC, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387.
- u. For POTWs, prior to making any change in the point of discharge, place of use, or purpose of use of treated wastewater that results in a decrease of flow in any portion of a watercourse, the Discharger must file a petition with the State Water Board, Division of Water Rights, and receive approval for such a change (CWC section 1211).
- v. In the event the Discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply for any reason, with any prohibition, maximum daily effluent limitation, 1-hour average effluent limitation, or receiving water limitation contained in this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by telephone (916) 464-3291 within 24 hours of having knowledge of such noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing within 5 days, unless the Regional Water Board waives confirmation. The written notification shall include the information required by Attachment D, Section V.E.1 [40 CFR section 122.41(I)(6)(i)].

B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements

1. The Discharger shall comply with the MRP, and future revisions thereto, in Attachment E of this Order.

C. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

- a. This Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance, as a result of the detection of a reportable priority pollutant generated by special conditions included in this Order. These special conditions may be, but are not limited to, fish tissue sampling, whole effluent toxicity, monitoring requirements on internal waste stream(s), and monitoring for surrogate parameters. Additional requirements may be included in this Order as a result of the special condition monitoring data.
- b. Conditions that necessitate a major modification of a permit are described in 40 CFR section 122.62, including:
 - i. If new or amended applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to Section 303 of the CWA, or amendments thereto, this permit may be reopened and modified in accordance with the new or amended standards.
 - ii. When new information, that was not available at the time of permit issuance, would have justified different permit conditions at the time of issuance.

- c. **Mercury, Total.** If a TMDL program is adopted, this Order may be reopened to modify the interim mass effluent limitation (higher or lower) or impose an effluent concentration limitation if necessary to implement the provisions of the TMDL program as adopted, and approved by the State Water Board, Office of Administrative Law, and US EPA. If the Regional Water Board determines that a mercury offset program is feasible for dischargers subject to a NPDES permit, then this Order may be reopened to reevaluate the interim mercury mass loading limitation(s) and the need for a mercury offset program for the Discharger.
- d. **Pollution Prevention.** This Order requires the Discharger to update and implement its salinity and mercury pollution prevention plans (*Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation for Total Dissolved Solids* [salinity], *Mercury and Group A Pesticides*, February 2005). Based on the success of these pollution prevention plans, this Order may be reopened for addition and/or modification of effluent limitations and requirements for these constituents.
- e. Whole Effluent Toxicity. As a result of a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), this Order may be reopened to include a chronic toxicity limitation, a new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE. Additionally, if the State Water Board revises the SIP's toxicity control provisions that would require the establishment of numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations, this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitation based on the new provisions.
- f. Water Effects Ratios (WER) and Metal Translators. A default WER of 1.0 has been used in this Order for calculating CTR criteria and Basin Plan objectives for applicable priority pollutant inorganic constituents. If the Discharger performs defensible water effect ratio studies to determine site-specific WERs and/or site-specific dissolved-to-total metal translators, this Order may be reopened to modify the effluent limitations for the applicable constituents. Or should an independent scientific peer review of the Arid West Water Quality Research Project technical report, Evaluation of the EPA Recalculation Procedure in the Arid West Technical Report, produce defensible findings that update the national ambient water quality criteria for aluminum, this Order may be reopened to modify the effluent limitations for aluminum.
- g. **Best Practicable Treatment and Control Assessment.** This Order requires the Discharger to submit a corrective action plan and implementation schedule for necessary modifications to any of the Facility's storage, treatment, or disposal components where the groundwater monitoring results exceed either the background monitoring results (i.e. monitoring well MW-15 or MW-16) or groundwater water quality objectives. Based on a review of the results of the report and the analytical groundwater quality monitoring results, this Order may be reopened for addition of groundwater limitations for protection of beneficial uses.

- h. Central Valley Drinking Water Policy. If water quality objectives are adopted for organic carbon, nutrients, salinity, bromide, or pathogens to protect drinking water supplies in the Central Valley Region, this Order may be reopened for addition and/or modification of effluent limitations and requirements, as appropriate, to require compliance with the applicable water quality objectives.
- i. Ammonia Studies. The ammonia effluent limitations in this Order are based on USEPA's recommended National Ambient Water Quality Criteria for protection of freshwater aquatic life. However, studies are ongoing to evaluate the effect of ammonia on the inhibition of growth of freshwater diatoms in the Delta, as well as, studies to evaluate the sensitivity of delta smelt to ammonia toxicity. Based on the result of these or other studies, this Order may be reopened to modify the ammonia effluent limitations, as appropriate.
- j. Regional Monitoring Program. The State and Regional Water Boards are committed to creation of a coordinated Regional Monitoring Program to address receiving water monitoring in the Delta for all Water Board regulatory and research programs. When a Regional Monitoring Program becomes functional, this permit may be reopened to make appropriate adjustments in permit-specific monitoring to coordinate with the Regional Monitoring Program."
- k. The Bay-Delta Plan. The South Delta salinity standards are currently under review by the State Water Board in accordance with implementation provisions contained in the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan. This review in process includes an updated independent scientific investigation of irrigation salinity needs in the southern Delta. If applicable water quality objectives of the Bay-Delta Plan are adopted, this Order may be reopened for addition and/or modification of effluent limitations and requirements, as appropriate.

2. Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements

a. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity. For compliance with the Basin Plan's narrative toxicity objective, this Order requires the Discharger to conduct chronic whole effluent toxicity testing, as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E, Section V). Furthermore, this Provision requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and to identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate, effluent toxicity. If the discharge exceeds the toxicity numeric monitoring trigger established in this Provision, the Discharger is required to initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), in accordance with an approved updated TRE Work Plan, and to take actions to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent reoccurrence of toxicity. This Provision also requires the Discharger to update and submit its TRE Work Plan, conditionally approved by the Executive Officer in November 2003, based on the findings of the recent TRE investigation and the effectiveness of the newly implemented toxicity controls. In addition, this Provision includes procedures for accelerated chronic

toxicity monitoring and TRE initiation. The Discharger shall conform with the following conditions:

- i. Update Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Work Plan. Within 120 days of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board an updated TRE Work Plan for approval by the Executive Officer. The TRE Work Plan shall outline the procedures for identifying the source(s) of, and reducing or eliminating effluent toxicity. The TRE Work Plan must be developed in accordance with USEPA guidance².
- ii. Accelerated Monitoring and TRE Initiation. When the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity monitoring, and the testing meets all test acceptability criteria, the Discharger shall initiate accelerated monitoring as required in the Accelerated Monitoring Specifications. WET testing results exceeding the monitoring trigger during accelerated monitoring demonstrates a pattern of toxicity and requires the Discharger to initiate a TRE to address the effluent toxicity.
- iii. **Numeric Monitoring Trigger.** The numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is > 1 TUc (where TUc = 100/NOEC). The monitoring trigger is not an effluent limitation; it is the toxicity threshold at which the Discharger is required to begin accelerated monitoring and initiate a TRE.
- iv. Accelerated Monitoring Specifications. If the monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity testing, within 14 days of notification by the laboratory of the test results, the Discharger shall initiate accelerated monitoring. Accelerated monitoring shall consist of four (4) chronic toxicity tests in a 6-week period (i.e., one test every 2 weeks) using the species that exhibited toxicity. The following protocol shall be used for accelerated monitoring and TRE initiation:
 - a) If the results of four (4) consecutive accelerated monitoring tests do not exceed the monitoring trigger, the Discharger may cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring. However, notwithstanding the accelerated monitoring results, if there is adequate evidence of a pattern of effluent toxicity, the Executive Officer may require that the Discharger initiate a TRE.
 - b) If the source(s) of the toxicity is easily identified (i.e., temporary plant upset), the Discharger shall make necessary corrections to the facility and shall continue accelerated monitoring until four (4) consecutive accelerated tests do not exceed the monitoring trigger. Upon confirmation that the effluent toxicity has been removed, the Discharger may cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring.

See Attachment F (Fact Sheet) Section VII.B.2.a. for a list of USEPA guidance documents that must be considered in development of the TRE Workplan.

- c) If the result of any accelerated toxicity test exceeds the monitoring trigger, the Discharger shall cease accelerated monitoring and initiate a TRE to investigate the cause(s) of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. Within thirty (30) days of notification by the laboratory of the test results exceeding the monitoring trigger during accelerated monitoring, the Discharger shall submit a TRE Action Plan to the Regional Water Board including, at minimum:
 - 1) Specific actions the Discharger will take to investigate and identify the cause(s) of toxicity, including TRE WET monitoring schedule;
 - 2) Specific actions the Discharger will take to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity; and
 - 3) A schedule for these actions.
- b. Effluent and Receiving Water Characterization Study. An effluent and receiving water monitoring study is required to ensure adequate information is available for the next permit renewal. During the third year of this permit term, the Discharger shall conduct monthly monitoring of the effluent at EFF-001 and of the receiving water at RSW-001 for all priority pollutants and other constituents of concern as described in Attachment H. Dioxin and Furan sampling shall be performed only twice during the year, as described in Attachment H. The report shall be completed in conformance with the following schedule.

<u>Task</u>	Compliance Date				
Submit Work Plan and Time Schedule	No later than 2 years 6 months from adoption of this Order				
Conduct monthly monitoring	During third year of permit term				
Submit Final Report	6 months following completion of final monitoring event				

c. Time Schedule for Compliance with Groundwater Limitations and Best Practicable Treatment and Control. State Water Board Resolution 68-16. (Antidegradaion Policy) requires best practicable treatment or control of the discharge necessary to assure that, "(a) a pollution or nuisance will not occur and (b) the highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State will be maintained." In general, an exceedance of a water quality objective in the Basin Plan constitutes "pollution". The Discharger currently stores digested sludge in an unlined lagoon and secondary treated effluent is contained in unlined ponds. These activities may have the potential to cause degradation of the underlying groundwater and groundwater monitoring results obtained within the Facility have at times exceeded the applicable water quality objectives for TDS and nitrate. However, more data is needed to make this determination because the Discharger's current monitoring network does not adequately characterize the variable background groundwater quality conditions in the vicinity of the Facility, and it cannot be determined if the affected groundwater exceeds background water quality, which is necessary for evaluating compliance with the Groundwater Limitations in this Order.

Therefore, to determine compliance with Groundwater Limitations V.B.1.b and c of this Order, the Discharger must submit a work plan and time schedule that describes the installation of any additional monitoring wells and any other testing needed to effectively and fully characterize background quality conditions. If the background water quality investigation indicates that the discharge has caused a violation of the Groundwater Limitations, the Discharger must also submit a BPTC Evaluation Work Plan that sets forth a comprehensive technical evaluation and time schedule to implement or modify Facility as necessary to comply with the Antidegradation Policy.

The Discharger shall comply with the following schedule:

Task

- 1 Submit Work plan and Time Schedule for preparation of background groundwater quality characterization.
- 2 Submit Background Groundwater Quality
 Characterization Technical Report.
- 3 Submit Work plan and Time Schedule for BPTC Technical Evaluation.
- 4 Submit BPTC Technical Evaluation Study.
- 5 Implement necessary modifications to achieve BPTC.

Compliance Date

Within 3 months following the effective date of this Order.

No longer than 2.5 years after commencement of the study.

60 days following approval of the Background Groundwater Quality Characterization Technical Report.

As established by Task 3 and following approval of the work plan and time schedule

As established by Task 4 and following approval of technical evaluation and time schedule.

3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention

- a. **Pollution Prevention Plan for Mercury.** The Discharger shall update and implement the pollution prevention plan for mercury ("Mercury and Group A Pesticides", February 2005) in accordance with CWC section 13263.3(d)(3). The minimum requirements for the pollution prevention plan are outlined in the Fact Sheet, Attachment F, Section VI.B.3.b. The updated plan shall be completed and submitted within 6 months of the effective date of this Order for approval.
- b. **Salinity Reduction Goal.** The Discharger shall provide annual reports demonstrating reasonable progress in the reduction of salinity in its discharge to the San Joaquin River. The Regional Water Board finds that an annual average salinity goal of the maximum weighted average electrical conductivity of the City of Stockton's water supply (e.g. 273 µmhos/cm in March 2005), plus an increment of 500 µmhos/cm for typical consumptive use, is a reasonable intermediate goal that can be achieved through the proper implementation of a pollution prevention plan. The Discharger shall submit annual progress reports in accordance with the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E, Section X.D.1.).

- c. **Salinity Plan.** The Discharger shall develop and implement a Salinity Plan to reduce its salinity impacts to the Delta in accordance with conditions i-iv below.
 - i. The Discharger shall implement all reasonable steps to obtain alternative, lower salinity water supply sources; and
 - ii. The Discharger shall develop and implement a salinity source control program that will identify and implement measures to reduce salinity in discharges from residential, commercial, industrial, and infiltration sources in an effort to meet the salinity reduction goal specified in previous Provision VI.C.3.b of this Order. As a part of its source control program, the Discharger shall update and implement its pollution prevention plan for salinity ("Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation for Total Dissolved Solids" [salinity], February 2005) in accordance with CWC section 13263.3(d)(3) (See section VI.B.3.b of the Fact Sheet for minimum requirements); and
 - iii. The Discharger shall participate financially in the development of the Central Valley Salinity Management Plan at a level commensurate with its contributions of salinity to the Delta; and
 - iv. The Discharger shall comply with the following schedule:

<u>Task</u>

- 1 Submit to the Regional Water Board for approval by the Executive Officer a draft Salinity Work Plan to reduce salinity impacts to the Delta.
- 2 Submit Final Salinity Work Plan.

Compliance Date

Within 6 months following the effective date of this Order.

No longer than **60 days** following approval of Task 1.

4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications

- a. Treatment Pond Operating Requirements.
 - i. Public contact with wastewater shall be precluded through such means as fences, signs, and other acceptable alternatives.
 - ii. Ponds shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular,
 - a) An erosion control program should assure that small coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water surface.
 - b) Weeds shall be minimized.
 - c) Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.
 - iii. Freeboard shall never be less than 2 feet (measured vertically to the lowest point of overflow) as a monthly average and never less than 1 feet at any time.

- iv. Objectionable odors originating at this facility shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the wastewater treatment and disposal areas (or property owned by the Discharger).
- v. As a means of discerning compliance with the previous Pond Operating Requirements a.iv., the dissolved oxygen content in the upper zone (1 foot) of wastewater in the ponds shall not be less than 1.0 mg/L.
- vi. Ponds shall not have a pH less than 6.5 or greater than 9.0.

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)

a. Pretreatment Requirements

- i. The Discharger shall implement its approved pretreatment program and the program shall be an enforceable condition of this Order. If the Discharger fails to perform the pretreatment functions, the Regional Water Board, the State Water Board or the USEPA may take enforcement actions against the Discharger as authorized by the CWA.
- ii. The Discharger shall enforce the Pretreatment Standards promulgated under sections 307(b), 307(c), and 307(d) of the Clean Water Act. The Discharger shall perform the pretreatment functions required by 40 CFR Part 403 including, but not limited to:
 - a) Adopting the legal authority required by 40 CFR 403.8(f)(1);
 - b) Enforcing the Pretreatment Standards of 40 CFR 403.5 and 403.6;
 - c) Implementing procedures to ensure compliance as required by 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2); and
 - d) Providing funding and personnel for implementation and enforcement of the pretreatment program as required by 40 CFR 403.8(f)(3).
- iii. The Discharger shall implement, as more completely set forth in 40 CFR 403.5, the necessary legal authorities, programs, and controls to ensure that the following incompatible wastes are not introduced to the treatment system, where incompatible wastes are:
 - a) Wastes which create a fire or explosion hazard in the treatment works;
 - b) Wastes which will cause corrosive structural damage to treatment works, but in no case wastes with a pH lower than 5.0, unless the works is specially designed to accommodate such wastes;

- Solid or viscous wastes in amounts which cause obstruction to flow in sewers, or which cause other interference with proper operation or treatment works;
- d) Any waste, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.), released in such volume or strength as to cause inhibition or disruption in the treatment works, and subsequent treatment process upset and loss of treatment efficiency;
- e) Heat in amounts that inhibit or disrupt biological activity in the treatment works, or that raise influent temperatures above 40°C (104°F), unless the Regional Water Board approves alternate temperature limits;
- f) Petroleum oil, non-biodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause interference or pass through;
- g) Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the treatment works in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems; and
- h) Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at points predesignated by the Discharger.
- iv. The Discharger shall implement, as more completely set forth in 40 CFR 403.5, the legal authorities, programs, and controls necessary to ensure that indirect discharges do not introduce pollutants into the sewerage system that, either alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources:
 - a) Flow through the system to the receiving water in quantities or concentrations that cause a violation of this Order; or
 - b) Inhibit or disrupt treatment processes, treatment system operations, or sludge processes, use, or disposal and either cause a violation of this Order or prevent sludge use or disposal in accordance with this Order.

b. Sludge/Biosolids Discharge Specifications

i. Collected screenings, residual sludge, biosolids, and other solids removed from liquid wastes shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer, and consistent with Consolidated Regulations for Treatment, Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste, as set forth in Title 27, CCR, Division 2, Subdivision 1, section 20005, et seq. Removal for further treatment, disposal, or reuse at sites (i.e., landfill, composting sites, soil amendment sites) that are operated in accordance with valid waste discharge requirements issued by a Regional Water Board will satisfy these specifications.

- ii. Sludge and solid waste shall be removed from screens, sumps, ponds, clarifiers, etc. as needed to ensure optimal plant performance.
- iii. The treatment of sludge generated at the Facility shall be confined to the Facility property and conducted in a manner that precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or concentration that will violate Groundwater Limitations V.B. In addition, the storage of residual sludge, solid waste, and biosolids on Facility property shall be temporary and controlled, and contained in a manner that minimizes leachate formation and precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or concentration that will violate Groundwater Limitations V.B.
- iv. The use and disposal of biosolids shall comply with existing Federal and State laws and regulations, including permitting requirements and technical standards included in 40 CFR Part 503. If the State Water Board and the Regional Water Board are given the authority to implement regulations contained in 40 CFR Part 503, this Order may be reopened to incorporate appropriate time schedules and technical standards. The Discharger must comply with the standards and time schedules contained in 40 CFR Part 503 whether or not they have been incorporated into this Order.

c. Biosolids Disposal Requirements

- i. The Discharger shall comply with the Monitoring and Reporting Program for biosolids disposal contained in Section IX.A of Attachment E.
- ii. Any proposed change in biosolids use or disposal practice from a previously approved practice shall be reported to the Executive Officer and USEPA Regional Administrator at least 90 days in advance of the change.
- iii. The Discharger is encouraged to comply with the "Manual of Good Practice for Agricultural Land Application of Biosolids" developed by the California Water Environment Association.

d Biosolids Storage Requirements

- i. Facilities for the storage of Class B biosolids shall be located, designed and maintained to restrict public access to biosolids.
- ii. Biosolids storage facilities shall be designed and maintained to prevent washout or inundation from a storm or flood with a return frequency of 100 years.
- iii. Biosolids storage facilities, which contain biosolids, shall be designed and maintained to contain all storm water falling on the biosolids storage area during a rainfall year with a return frequency of 100 years.
- iv. Biosolids storage facilities shall be designed, maintained and operated to minimize the generation of leachate.

e. Collection System. On 2 May 2006, the State Water Board adopted State Water Board Order 2006-0003, a Statewide General WDR for Sanitary Sewer Systems. The Discharger shall be subject to the requirements of Order 2006-0003 and any future revisions thereto. Order 2006-0003 requires that all public agencies that currently own or operate sanitary sewer systems apply for coverage under the General WDR. The Discharger has applied for and has been approved for coverage under State Water Board Order 2006-0003 for operation of its wastewater collection system.

Regardless of the coverage obtained under Order 2006-0003, the Discharger's collection system is part of the treatment system that is subject to this Order. As such, pursuant to federal regulations, the Discharger must properly operate and maintain its collection system [40 CFR section 122.41(e)], report any non-compliance [40 CFR section 122.41(l)(6) and (7)], and mitigate any discharge from the collection system in violation of this Order [40 CFR section 122.41(d)].

- f. **Turbidity Operational Requirements.** The Discharger shall operate the treatment system to ensure that the turbidity measured at EFF-001, as described in the MRP (Attachment E), shall not exceed:
 - i. 2 NTU as a daily average, and
 - ii. 5 NTU more than 5 percent of the time within a 24-hour period, and
 - iii. 10 NTU, at any time.

6. Other Special Provisions

- Wastewater shall be oxidized, coagulated, filtered, and adequately disinfected pursuant to the California Department of Public Health (DPH) reclamation criteria, CCR, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 3, (Title 22), or equivalent.
- b. The treatment and storage facilities shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to floods with a 100-year return frequency
- c. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to the Regional Water Board.

To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the State of incorporation if a corporation, address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Water Board and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory and certification requirements in the Federal Standard Provisions (Attachment D, Section V.B.) and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without

requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved in writing by the Executive Officer.

7. Compliance Schedules - Not Applicable

VII. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Compliance with the effluent limitations contained in section IV of this Order will be determined as specified below:

- A. CBOD₅ and TSS Effluent Limitations (Section IV.A.1.b and IV.A.1.c). Compliance with the final effluent limitations for CBOD and TSS required in sections IV.A.1.b. and IV.A.1.c shall be ascertained by 24-hour composite samples. Compliance with effluent limitations IV.A.1.c for percent removal shall be calculated using the arithmetic mean of 20°C CBOD (5-day) and total suspended solids in effluent samples collected over a monthly period as a percentage of the arithmetic mean of the values for influent samples collected at approximately the same times during the same period.
- B. Aluminum Effluent Limitations (Section IV.A.1.a). Compliance with the final effluent limitations for aluminum can be demonstrated using either total or acid-soluble (inductively coupled plasma/atomic emission spectrometry or inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry) analysis methods, as supported by USEPA's Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Aluminum document (EPA 440/5-86-008), or other standard methods that exclude aluminum silicate particles as approved by the Executive Officer.
- C. Total Mercury Mass Loading Effluent Limitations (Section IV.B.2.d). The procedures for calculating mass loadings are as follows:
 - 1. The total pollutant mass load for each individual calendar month shall be determined using an average of all concentration data collected that month and the corresponding total monthly flow. All monitoring data collected under the monitoring and reporting program, pretreatment program and any special studies shall be used for these calculations.
 - 2. In calculating compliance, the Discharger shall count all non-detect measures at one-half of the detection level. If compliance with the effluent limitation is not attained due to the non-detect contribution, the Discharger shall improve and implement available analytical capabilities and compliance shall be evaluated with consideration of the detection limits.
- D. Total Coliform Organisms Effluent Limitations (Section IV.A.1.j). For each day that an effluent sample is collected and analyzed for total coliform organisms, the 7-day median shall be determined by calculating the median concentration of total coliform bacteria in the effluent utilizing the bacteriological results of the last 7 days

E. Total Residual Chlorine Effluent Limitations (Section IV.A.1.g). Continuous monitoring analyzers for chlorine residual or for dechlorination agent residual in the effluent are appropriate methods for compliance determination. A positive residual dechlorination agent in the effluent indicates that chlorine is not present in the discharge, which demonstrates compliance with the effluent limitations. This type of monitoring can also be used to prove that some chlorine residual exceedances are false positives. Continuous monitoring data showing either a positive dechlorination agent residual or a chlorine residual at or below the prescribed limit are sufficient to show compliance with the total residual chlorine effluent limitations, as long as the instruments are maintained and calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

Any excursion above the 1-hour average or 4-day average total residual chlorine effluent limitations is a violation. If the Discharger conducts continuous monitoring and the Discharger can demonstrate, through data collected from a back-up monitoring system, that a chlorine spike recorded by the continuous monitor was not actually due to chlorine, then any excursion resulting from the recorded spike will not be considered an exceedance, but rather reported as a false positive.

F. **Mass Effluent Limitations.** The mass effluent limitations contained in Final Effluent Limitations IV.A.1.h are based on the permitted average dry weather flow and calculated as follows:

Mass (lbs/day) = Flow (mgd) x Concentration (mg/L) x 8.34 (conversion factor)

If the effluent flow exceeds the permitted average dry weather flow during weweather seasons, the effluent mass limitations contained in Final Effluent Limitations IV.A.1.a shall not apply.

- G. Average Dry Weather Flow Effluent Limitations. The Average Dry Weather Flow represents the average dry weather flow discharged by the Facility (i.e. daily average flow when groundwater is at or near normal and runoff is not occurring). Compliance with the Average Dry Weather Flow effluent limitations will be determined annually based on the average daily flow over three consecutive dry weather months (e.g. July, August, and September).
- H. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Effluent Limitation. Compliance with the accelerated monitoring and TRE/TIE provisions of Provision VI.C.2.a shall constitute compliance with effluent limitation IV.A.1.k for chronic whole effluent toxicity.

ATTACHMENT A - DEFINITIONS

Arithmetic Mean (\mu), also called the average, is the sum of measured values divided by the number of samples. For ambient water concentrations, the arithmetic mean is calculated as follows:

Arithmetic mean = $\mu = \Sigma x / n$

where: Σx is the sum of the measured ambient water

concentrations, and n is the number of

samples.

Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL): the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

Average Weekly Effluent Limitation (AWEL): the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday), calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Best Practicable Treatment or Control (BPTC): BPTC is a requirement of State Water Resources Control Board Resolution 68-16 – "Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California" (referred to as the "Antidegradation Policy"). BPTC is the treatment or control of a discharge necessary to assure that, "(a) a pollution or nuisance will not occur and (b) the highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State will be maintained." Pollution is defined in CWC Section 13050(I). In general, an exceedance of a water quality objective in the Basin Plan constitutes "pollution".

Bioaccumulative pollutants are those substances taken up by an organism from its surrounding medium through gill membranes, epithelial tissue, or from food and subsequently concentrated and retained in the body of the organism.

Carcinogenic pollutants are substances that are known to cause cancer in living organisms.

Coefficient of Variation (CV) is a measure of the data variability and is calculated as the estimated standard deviation divided by the arithmetic mean of the observed values.

Daily Discharge: Daily Discharge is defined as either: (1) the total mass of the constituent discharged over the calendar day (12:00 am through 11:59 pm) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling (as specified in the permit), for a constituent with limitations expressed in units of mass or; (2) the unweighted arithmetic mean measurement of the constituent over the day for a constituent with limitations expressed in other units of measurement (e.g., concentration).

The daily discharge may be determined by the analytical results of a composite sample taken over the course of one day (a calendar day or other 24-hour period defined as a day) or by the

arithmetic mean of analytical results from one or more grab samples taken over the course of the day.

For composite sampling, if 1 day is defined as a 24-hour period other than a calendar day, the analytical result for the 24-hour period will be considered as the result for the calendar day in which the 24-hour period begins.

Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ) are those sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL.

Dilution Credit is the amount of dilution granted to a discharge in the calculation of a water quality-based effluent limitation, based on the allowance of a specified mixing zone. It is calculated from the dilution ratio or determined through conducting a mixing zone study or modeling of the discharge and receiving water.

Effluent Concentration Allowance (ECA) is a value derived from the water quality criterion/objective, dilution credit, and ambient background concentration that is used, in conjunction with the coefficient of variation for the effluent monitoring data, to calculate a long-term average (LTA) discharge concentration. The ECA has the same meaning as waste load allocation (WLA) as used in USEPA guidance (Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, second printing, EPA/505/2-90-001).

Enclosed Bays means indentations along the coast that enclose an area of oceanic water within distinct headlands or harbor works. Enclosed bays include all bays where the narrowest distance between the headlands or outermost harbor works is less than 75 percent of the greatest dimension of the enclosed portion of the bay. Enclosed bays include, but are not limited to, Humboldt Bay, Bodega Harbor, Tomales Bay, Drake's Estero, San Francisco Bay, Morro Bay, Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbor, Upper and Lower Newport Bay, Mission Bay, and San Diego Bay. Enclosed bays do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

Estimated Chemical Concentration is the estimated chemical concentration that results from the confirmed detection of the substance by the analytical method below the ML value.

Estuaries means waters, including coastal lagoons, located at the mouths of streams that serve as areas of mixing for fresh and ocean waters. Coastal lagoons and mouths of streams that are temporarily separated from the ocean by sandbars shall be considered estuaries. Estuarine waters shall be considered to extend from a bay or the open ocean to a point upstream where there is no significant mixing of fresh water and seawater. Estuarine waters included, but are not limited to, the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, as defined in Water Code section 12220, Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait downstream to the Carquinez Bridge, and appropriate areas of the Smith, Mad, Eel, Noyo, Russian, Klamath, San Diego, and Otay rivers. Estuaries do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

Inland Surface Waters are all surface waters of the State that do not include the ocean, enclosed bays, or estuaries.